Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,083	ZAMORA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer H. Gay	3672
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Jennifer H. Gay</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Grant Rodolph</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed: 27 and 45		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Jennifer H. Gay		
Primary Cyamine:		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant to inform them that the case was incondition for allowance except for two minor error in the claims. The errors were that claim 27 depended from claim 25 which had been cancelled and claim 45 depended from claim 33 which had also been cancelled. Applicant agreed to change the dependency to claim 27 to claim 1 and that of claim 45 to claim 29. An examiner's amendment to follow..